

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990)
United States Attorney

W. DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CSBN 202121)
Acting Chief, Criminal Division

KYLE F. WALDINGER (ILSB 6238304)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6830
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No. CR 03-0217 CRB
)	[Filed July 15, 2003]
v.)	
)	
RANDY WRIGHT,)	
)	
Defendant.)	SAN FRANCISCO VENUE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No. CR 07-0445 JSW
)	[Filed July 12, 2007]
v.)	
)	
RANDY WRIGHT,)	<u>NOTICE OF RELATED CASE</u>
)	<u>IN A CRIMINAL ACTION</u>
Defendant.)	SAN FRANCISCO VENUE

The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court that the two above-captioned criminal cases are related. The more recent charges filed in the Information on July 12, 2007 (see Attachment) involve the same defendant as charged in the Indictment in case CR 03-0217, now pending before The Honorable Charles R. Breyer. In that case, the defendant Randy Wright pleaded guilty to charges that she possessed stolen mail and

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE
CR 03-0217 CRB

1 that she possessed 15 or more unauthorized access devices. On June 23, 2004, The Honorable
2 Fern M. Smith sentenced the defendant to a sentence of imprisonment of 34 months. The
3 defendant is now on supervised release with respect to that charge. The matter has been re-
4 assigned to The Honorable Charles R. Breyer. A charge that the defendant violated the terms of
5 her supervised release is now pending before the Court.

6 The recently filed case CR 07-0445 JSW has been assigned to The Honorable Jeffrey S.
7 White. The new case charges the same defendant as in case CR 03-0217 CRB and relates to
8 similar conduct. Based upon these facts, the cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule
9 8-1(b)(2) because if heard by separate judges they likely would involve substantial duplication of
10 labor by the two judges.

11 Per the requirement of Local Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that
12 assignment of these cases to a single judge is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an
13 efficient determination of each action. In particular, the United States believes that the parties
14 have reached an agreement that resolves both the new charge brought in case CR 07-0445 JSW
15 and that resolves the supervised release violation alleged in case CR 03-0217 CRB.

16 DATED: July 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

17 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
18 United States Attorney

19 /S/
20 _____
21 KYLE F. WALDINGER
22 Assistant United States Attorney
23
24
25
26
27
28